

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,050	06/21/2001	Daniel Guy Pomerleau	PAT 362-2	3639
26123	7590 11/18/2003		EXAMINER	
BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			ST CYR, DANIEL	
	CHANGE PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	STREET SUITE 1100			FAFER NUMBER
OTTAWA, O CANADA	IN KIP 139		2876	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/885,050	POMERLEAU ET AL	. .
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation app ars on the cover she	t with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, maication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of lory period will apply and will expire SIX (6). II, by statute, cause the application to becon	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this common the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>23 July 2003</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice			nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4)	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the table 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	a) accepted or b) objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abo ne correction is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78.	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in the priority documents have been been received in the priority documents have been received in the priority documents have been received in the priority under 35 U.S.	in Application No een received in this National Stanot received. i.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ap	pplication)
a) The translation of the foreign language. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first senter.	domestic priority under 35 U.S	.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a s	•
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTCB) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	0-948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 7/23/03.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 4, 5, and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 8, "the POST" should be changed to --a portable point-of-sale terminal (POST)--, line 9, "a terminal" should be --the terminal--, and line 14, "a transaction" should be --the transaction--.

Claim 4, line 2, "a check" should be --the check--

Claim 5, line 1, "3" should be deleted.

Claim 11, lines 1-2, --for converting a digital image of the check routing code into a formatted string-- should be inserted after "a processor".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 2876

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trotta, Jr. The teachings of Trotta, Jr. have been discussed above.

Trotta, Jr. discloses a system and method for automated shopping comprising: a portable scanner 14 for scanning information relating to financial transaction; a portable point of sale terminal (POST) 23 in operative with the portable terminal scanner 14 for receiving the information, the POST also for reporting information to a transaction approval service and for receiving transaction approval or denial from the transaction approval service, wherein the portable scanner or the POST formats the information for reporting to the transaction terminal (see figure 4; col. 4, line 30 +); wherein the scanner is in operative communication with the POST via a wireless link (see figure 4); wherein the scanner includes a processor 25 operatively connected to a reader 23 for processing scanned information; an interface operatively connected to the processor for operative communication with the POST; and wherein the processor receives digital image of the code information; a display 22; wherein the POST includes a card reader at the scanner station 18 (see col. 3, line 50+).

Trotta, Jr. does not disclose or fairly suggests using a check as a means of payment.

However, it is notorious old and well known in the art to use checks, such as personal checks, business checks, as means for paying for transactions.

It would have been an obvious for an artisan to modify Trotta, Jr.'s system by incorporating the well-known check accepting means for accepting checks for providing customers an alternate means for paying for their good. Such modification would make the

Art Unit: 2876

system more versatile and more convenient. Therefore, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Trotta, Jr.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:
 Although the prior art of record teaches a point of sale transaction system, which employs a
 portable scanning device for scanning transactions information, a portable point of sale terminal,
 a transaction approval service, etc., the prior art of record fails to disclose or fairly suggests all
 the details of the system, including that the scanner has a processor for converting a digital image
 of the routing code of a check into a formatted string then comparing the formatted string to a
 library of jurisdictional codes. These limitations in conjunction with other limitations in the
 claims were not shown by the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, and 4-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

Art Unit: 2876

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, incorporating a check accepting means in the system of Trotta Jr. would make the system more attractive wherein cards and checks using customer could both convenient shop using the Trotta' system.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7721.

Art Unit: 2876

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS November 15, 2003